

31. An apparatus for biometric identification of a user as claimed in Claim 30, wherein the tolerance range is less than 50 μm .

32. An apparatus for biometric identification of a user as claimed in Claim 30, wherein the tolerance range is between 10 μm and 20 μm .

33. An apparatus for biometric identification of a user as claimed in Claim 26, further comprising:

a fingerprint sensor for acquiring a fingerprint as the biometric record and the associated spatial position data on a contact area of the fingerprint sensor.

34. An apparatus for biometric identification of a user as claimed in Claim 33, wherein the fingerprint sensor determines coordinates of certain features of the fingerprint on the contact area.

35. An apparatus for biometric identification of a user as claimed in Claim 34, wherein the certain features of the fingerprint are at least one of branches and minuscules.


REMARKS

The present amendment makes editorial changes and corrects typographical errors in the specification, which includes the Abstract, in order to conform the specification to the requirements of United States Patent Practice. No new matter is added thereby. Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification by the present amendment. The attached page is captioned "**Version With Markings To Show Changes Made**".

In addition, the present amendment cancels original claims 1-16 in favor of new claims 17-35. Claims 17-35 have been presented solely because the revisions by red-lining and underlining which would have been necessary in claims 1-16 in order to present those claims in accordance with preferred United States Patent Practice would have been too extensive, and thus would have been too burdensome. The present amendment is intended for clarification purposes only and not for substantial reasons related to patentability pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§103, 102, 103 or 112. Indeed, the cancellation of claims 1-16 does not constitute an intent on the part of the Applicants to surrender any of the subject matter of claims 1-16.

Early consideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



(Reg. No. 39,056)

William E. Vaughan
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135
(312) 807-4292
Attorneys for Applicants

RECEIVED - 607-17-660

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In The Specification:

The Specification of the present application, including the Abstract, has been amended as follows:

SPECIFICATION

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR USER IDENTIFICATION

Description

User identification method

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method and ~~a device~~ an apparatus for user identification for the unambiguous identification of a user or subscriber to a system.

Such a system can be, for example, a terminal such as a mobile telephone, or a building to which only certain persons should have access. However, it ~~can~~ also can be a computer network which only allows access to certain data after unambiguous identification of the user; for example in on-line banking.

It is known that the user identifies ~~himself~~ oneself by a personal identification number (PIN) only known to ~~him~~ the user in the ideal case. However, this method has the disadvantage that the user can easily forget or mistake the number due to the multiplicity of numbers to be used. The PIN number is, therefore, frequently noted in notebooks or the like which, however, entails a security risk.

For this reason, biometric identification methods recently have been ~~recently~~ developed in which biometric features of a user are used for the authentication. Such a biometric identification is a method for ensuring the allocation and the access of a certain person to a system or a location, which is not simple but is convenient and often very secure. Compared with the PIN code, the biometric identification has the advantage that it cannot be forgotten and the biometric features can be copied only by very elaborate ~~means~~ measures or not at all. This is because, whereas the PIN code is pure software, there is always a more or less unambiguous correlation with the hardware, i.e. with the body of the respective user, in the case of biometric features. A possibility of such a biometric identification consists in the acquisition of the fingerprint of a finger of the user. The ~~latter~~ user places, for example, the right-hand

[illegible]

093446 J109 or **093447 J109**

[illegible]

093446 J109 or **093447 J109**

[illegible]

093446 J109 or **093447 J109**

[illegible]

093446 J109 or **093447 J109**

093446 J109 or **093447 J109**

100 μm in the vertical and horizontal direction. If a corresponding fingerprint with corresponding position is acquired during two successive identification processes, it is assumed that in the second identification process, the print traces remaining from the preceding identification process are being misused and access authorization is refused.

~~The invention also proposes a device for biometric user identification having the features of claim 8. Advantageous further developments of the method according to the invention and of the device according to the invention are described in the subclaims.~~

~~In an advantageous further development of the method~~ In an advantageous embodiment of the method of the present invention, a mean value of the positions of a number of individual features of the biometric data is determined during the acquisition of the biometric record and, during the position comparison check of two successive identification processes, these mean position values are compared with one another. Since the mean values are subject to less spread, for example due to a stretching or compression of the surface of the skin or because of the acquisition raster of the pickup device, the tolerance range in which a position correspondence is evaluated as misuse, can be selected to be narrower in this variant of the method so that unwanted nonrecognition of a finger placed down correctly twice in succession becomes more improbable.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

~~In the text which follows, the invention will be explained in detail by means of exemplary embodiments and referring to the drawings, in which~~

Figure 1 shows a diagrammatic block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of the ~~device~~ apparatus according to the present invention;

Figure 2 shows a flowchart explaining an exemplary embodiment of the method according to the present invention; ~~and~~

Figure 3 shows a flowchart explaining a further exemplary embodiment of the method according to the ~~invention~~ present invention.

~~Firstly, an exemplary embodiment of the invention will be explained with reference to the block diagram in Figure 1.~~

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

A Referring to Figure 1, a fingerprint sensor 1 has a contact area 5 for placing a finger (indicated in dashed lines) and acquires the features such as branches or minuscules of the fingerprint. A position acquisition device 2 acquires the positions of these features relative to a reference position; for example, a coordinate origin of an xy coordinate system of the contact area 5. The fingerprint data and associated position information thus determined are supplied to a memory 3 and a comparison device 4. From the memory 3, the corresponding fingerprint data and position data of the preceding fingerprint acquisition are read out and also supplied to the comparison device 4. The fingerprint features and their positions are compared there, and, in the case of a correspondence which is within a tolerance range, the comparison device 4 evaluates the current fingerprint acquisition or, respectively, the current identification process as misuse of fingerprint traces of the last identification process and rejects access which is indicated on a display device 6.

In this method, the present invention is based on the fact that

- (1) old fingerprint traces are no longer of consequence when a new arbitrary finger is placed on the area, and are replaced by the new print, and
- (2) a user will not be able to position his finger, when placing it down again, with such accuracy that the finger corresponds to the preceding fingerprint within up to 100 μm or 50 μm in position and direction.

Since the position of the remaining traces of the earlier fingerprint of the preceding identification process cannot shift in space with respect to the sensor, it is not only the individual features of the fingerprint such as branches or minuscules but also their precise position on the contact area which are stored in the present invention; for example, as xy coordinates or as polar coordinates. If, in the case of a new fingerprint of a new identification process, corresponding features lie within a tolerance range of 50 μm or 100 μm at the same spatial position, it is highly probable that this is not a new placement of a finger of the same person but the features of the last print. In this case, access authorization or identification must be refused and the user must be requested to place his his/her finger again.

An exemplary embodiment of the method according to the present invention will now be explained with reference to the flowchart of figure Figure 2.

In a step S1, the biometric data and their associated positions on the contact area are acquired. In a step S2 these are stored for use in the user identification process following next. In step 3, accordingly, the biometric data and associated positions of the preceding identification process are read out. In step S4, a comparison is made to determine whether the features and positions of the two successive acquisitions, i.e. the fingerprint acquisition of the current user identification process and the fingerprint acquisition of the immediately preceding user identification process, correspond with each other. If both the features of the fingerprint have a defined degree of correspondence and the positions of these features correspond to one another within a tolerance range of 50 μm or 100 μm , the identification is refused (step S5), ~~otherwise.~~ Otherwise, the check continues to step S6 in which a check is made, as in known user identification methods, to determine whether the features of the current acquisition of the fingerprint correspond to the stored features of fingerprints of certain persons; for example, authorized users. If this is not so, identification is refused (step S7), ~~otherwise.~~ Otherwise, identification takes place.

The variant of the method explained in [figure Figure 3](#) differs from that shown in [figure-2 Figure 2](#) in that a mean value of the positions of acquired features of the biometric record (fingerprint) is calculated and stored in a step S11. In step S4, it is then not the positions of individual features of the fingerprints but the mean position values of the current fingerprint acquisition and the preceding one which are compared with one another. This has the advantage that statistic deviations due to stretching or compression of the skin or due to the pixel spacing of the contact area 5 of the fingerprint sensor are averaged out so that the tolerance range can be selected to be smaller; for example, 10 μm to 20 μm . This reduces the probability of unjustified rejections of the identification.

The present invention provides an improved method for biometric user identification in which misuse due to fingerprint traces of a preceding user identification which are remaining on the acquisition device can be prevented. The present invention can be applied to checking the authorization to use devices such as, for example, mobile telephones or for identifying a computer user in bank transactions. However, other applications ~~are~~ also are conceivable in which the identity of a person must be reliably established on the basis of biometric data such as, for example, a fingerprint.

Abstract

User identification method

ABSTRACT

A method and apparatus for ~~biometric~~ user identification ~~exhibits the following~~ steps: involving:

- (1) ~~Aequisition~~(1) acquisition of a biometric record, preferably fingerprint data, of the user and the respective spatial position of the biometric data relative to a reference position;
- (2) ~~Storage~~ storage of the biometric record and the associated position data;
- (3) ~~Reading~~ reading out the biometric record and the associated position data of a user identification process preceding the current user identification process; and;
- (4) ~~Comparison~~ comparison of the biometric ~~data~~ record currently acquired and associated position data with the preceding biometric data and associated position data read out and rejection of the identification if the biometric ~~data have~~ record has a defined degree of correspondence and the position of the corresponding biometric data corresponds within a defined tolerance range. ~~The biometric data are preferably fingerprint data.~~

(Figure 2)